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## Preface

Fifteen years ago, in 2008, I started with my work on middlegames in chess, and my first book on this topic was titled Winning Chess Middlegames. That book was (mostly) based on middlegames arising from 1.d4 openings and was divided into four basic pawn structures:

- White has doubled c-pawns (like in the Nimzo-Indian Defence and similar openings);
- White has hanging pawns in the centre;
- White has an isolated pawn; and
- White has a pawn majority in the centre.

That book was well received and I was often asked if there would be a similar work involving middlegames coming from 1.e4 openings.

At that time I was still an active player; my White-side openings were based on 1.d4 while my main weapons with Black were different variations of the Ruy Lopez. Understandably, at that time I was not in a hurry to delve into all the different Sicilians, Frenches, and Caro-Kanns.

At the end of 2013, I finished my career as an active player and started coaching. My early 'training jobs' were with promising young players like Alireza Firouzja, Parham Maghsoodloo and Salem AR Saleh. Obviously, 1.e 4 openings and the middlegames arising from them became my daily routine now... when I had spent enough time investigating those middlegame positions, the old question resurfaced: why not a book on Winning Chess Middlegames with 1.e4 Structures? I considered I was up to the job now, and this book is a product of that decision.

The middlegames arising from 1.e 4 openings are very diverse, and it was next to impossible to include all of them in one book in a proper way. So I had to make choices.

I understand that some readers may be disappointed that, for example, the Sicilian Pawn Storm, the Sicilian Dragon, the Open Ruy Lopez, the French Tarrasch and the Caro-Kann are not included in this book, but... I had to make choices. It is quite possible that somewhere, within a few years, I may find the time and energy to write another edition including the middlegames coming from these openings.

As for the opening lines that did find their way into this book, I wanted to analyse the positions thoroughly, and the material presented
is predominantly aimed at improving the reader's playing level. I have tried to present the knowledge I have acquired during twenty years as a top-level player plus a coaching career of ten years, working with some of the world's best players of today, in an accessible way so that readers of different chess levels can benefit from it.

As its name suggests, the book is aimed at middlegame improvement; however, I also share a sizeable portion of my opening knowledge. I hope that the chosen games will inspire the reader on his/her journey to chess improvement.

Ivan Sokolov
Amsterdam, November 2023

## CHAPTER 4

## The Sveshnikov Variation

The Sveshnikov Sicilian is rich with ideas, and half of this book could have been devoted to it. With our limited space, my choice is personal and I have opted for two ideas for White that I like very much. Also, I think they can be useful for the reader in practical play.

One idea is a positional exchange sacrifice; the other is an attacking idea related to a rook swing along the second rank. In both cases, we have quite a number of top-level examples.

The exchange sacrifice


Diagrammed is the result of a typical idea to sacrifice an exchange, as seen in a number of top-level games. We have different versions of this idea, but positionally/strategically, the concept is the same.

In return for the exchange White gets light-square control, a dominant knight on the d5-outpost, and a passed b-pawn.

Black is to move in the diagrammed position and has to decide on a plan to create counterplay. Black's best counterplay options here are related to the push of the f-pawn (...f5). In Kasparov-Shirov, which we will analyse below, White got an even better version of the diagrammed position with an extra pair of knights on the board.

## The second rank rook swing



The second diagram shows a nice attacking idea for White seen in a couple of top-level games. White has first sacrificed the h -pawn to open the h -file and now has just played b3, clearing the second rank for a rook transfer. White will push f4, then swing his rook an a2 to the kingside and obtain a powerful attack. To my knowledge, this idea was invented by Ruslan Ponomariov and it has later been replicated in some top-level games.

## Exchange sacrifice／light－square domination

For a good understanding of the positional exchange sacrifice with which White tries to achieve light－square domination，this game by Kasparov is an important cornerstone，and the next game Anand－Van Wely is another impor－ tant building block．When the first game was played，it was（to my knowledge）a novel idea．Having to solve new strategic problems in over－the－board play，Shirov quickly got into trouble and Kasparov celebrated a smooth victory．As we will see，things are actually rather complex．

Game 22 B33
$\begin{array}{lr}\text { Garry Kasparov } & 2805 \\ \text { Alexei Shirov } & 2740\end{array}$
Horgen 1994


 11．是xf6 畕xf6 12．c3


## 12．．．鼻b7

Predominantly due to this game， this continuation has slowly
disappeared from practice，perhaps unjustifiably since Black＇s play can be improved．
12 ．．．息g5 is nowadays considered to be the main move．

## 13． 0 c2

Black＇s knight on c6 needs to be improved．Usually Black either opts for the game plan or plays $13 . . .2 \mathrm{e} 7$ which after 14． xff $^{2}$ exf6 leads to a different pawn structure．
13．．．乌b8 $14 . a 4$
In general，the standard plan for White here．In this particular case， Kasparov already had the ensuing exchange sacrifice in mind．
14．．．bxa4 15．尚xa4 0 d7


## 16．${ }^{\text {u }} \mathrm{b} 4$ ！

Preparing a positional exchange sacrifice．In this game，everything went perfectly for White．Either Alexei was not aware of White＇s plan，or he underestimated it，and White＇s strategic idea worked to full extent．There is however a risk associated with White＇s idea：if the exchange is not sacrificed on b7，the rook will be a bit awkward on b4．
16．．． 0 c5？！
Definitely helping White with the execution of his planned exchange
sacrifice；the knight will be out of play on b 7 ．
The correct decision was 16 ．．．${ }_{\text {ean }} 7$ when matters are far from clear as White＇s exchange sac now works considerably less well：
A）The exchange sacrifice now leads to approximate（dynamic） equality，e．g．17．exb7 ${ }^{(\mu x b 7} 18.0$ cb4 （18．b4？，trying to control c5（similar to Kasparov）now does not work with Black＇s knight on d7，as Black is actually better after 18．．．a5）
18．．． 4 c5（Black may also opt for 18．．． a5，when best for White is to gain back the exchange with 19 ．鼻a6 㗀a8 20．鼻xb7 謄xb7 and it is doubtful if White has any advantage here）

analysis diagram
 may decide to collect the b2－pawn：

 23． $0 x f 6+$ gxf6 24．0－0，to me the position looks promising for White but my Stockfish gives around equality）21．©ab4 and compared to our main game（see below），we have a different situation．White has compensation for the exchange，but not more；

B）White can play for light－square domination with 17．鼻c4！？，however after 17．．．0－0 18．0－0 a5．．．

analysis diagram
．．．the rook is awkwardly placed on b4；best is to sacrifice a pawn：
 ©g5（21．．．$\searrow \mathrm{c} 5$ leads to White＇s advantage after 22．b4 ©e6 23．巴efa1） 22． ®ce3 $^{\text {ce }} 623 . \mathrm{b} 4$ and White definitely has compensation but probably not more；
C）Twenty－four years later，Alexei tried Kasparov＇s idea as White，but even though he won that game，he didn＇t get an opening advantage： 17． 0 ce3 0－0 18．h4！？（this typical idea to limit Black＇s bishop does not work that well here because Black can improve the bishop in another way） $18 . . . a 5$ 19．${ }^{\text {en }} \mathrm{c} 4$ ．


The critical moment；here Black has a possibility to improve on the game by executing a typical plan in a Sveshnikov structure！
 ．．．賭d8 and ．．．乌b6 to follow．White＇s rook on c4 is clumsy and Black has a comfortable，perhaps even somewhat better position）20．b4． Shirov＇s rook will now be liberated， but Black gets the d4－square for his knight：20．．．axb4 21．cxb4 ©e6 （White may have had regrets about pushing h4，as now he needs to spend a move protecting it and it can also be a target for a piece sacrifice in the future） 22.83 d4 （the position can be evaluated as dynamically balanced）23．鼻d3 党a3 24．0－0


24．．．g6（a standard Sveshnikov move，but Black had an interesting tactical option at his disposal：the piece sacrifice 24．．．鼻xh4！？25．gxh4自xd5 26．exd5 断xh4．Black already has two pawns for the piece and White＇s king is not secure，White needs time to coordinate his pieces． Your engine will give you zeros，but in practice it might be easier to play this position as Black）25． 0 c2．Now
we get a position with opposite－ coloured bishops：25．．．$\subseteq x c 2$ 26．啰xc2鼻xd5 27．exd5．


White has a passed b－pawn，but Black can easily deal with it and is not worse．27．．．帪a8？（a step in the wrong direction；correct was 27．．．㟧b8 followed by ．．．鼻d8，．．．賭b6， ．．．f5，with sufficient counterplay）
 White is in the driving seat and his b－pawn is powerful．White soon won in Shirov－Lindh，Sweden tt 2018.


After the text move，Kasparov gets what he was hoping for！

## 17．${ }^{\text {exb }} \mathbf{x}$ ！ $0 \times 67$ 18．b4

Black＇s knight on b7 will be passive for quite some time．White has total light－square domination．Compared to Anand－Van Wely（below）White does not have a passed b－pawn，
but the presence of an extra pair of knights（Black having a passive one on b7）definitely favours White． Shirov tries to create counterplay by opening the a－file（also giving White a passed b－pawn）．

## 18．．．鼻g5 19．⿹23！

The right place for the knight on c2 is the c4－square，so first improving the knight is the most precise．
$19 . . .0-020.4 \mathrm{c} 4 \mathrm{a} 5$


Kasparov chooses the most human move，completing his development．
21．宣d3
Probably the most precise was 21．h4！鼻h6．The bishop on h6 controls the（not exactly important） c1－h6 diagonal，but does not control e7，allowing White some tactical motifs：22．$₫ \mathrm{Cc} 66$ ！．
 of Black＇s bishop being chased to h6 and not controlling the e7－square：
 and White has total domination；
B） 22 ．．． 量 $\mathrm{b} 823 . g 3$ and Black is very passive，Stockfish 15 even gives White a winning advantage（plus two）；
C）22．．．axb4 23．cxb4！（playing for positional domination is the most
practical here；on 23． 0 xa8 Black can sacrifice a piece with 23 ．．．bxc3！ （23．．．響xa8？is a strategic blunder， as after 24．cxb4 White has a huge advantage with his passed b－pawn and total domination）：24．©ab6余d $2+25$ ．象e2 2 c 5 and in a game between humans，this position does


analysis diagram
White has full domination and definitely plenty of compensation． Play may continue 24 ．．．f5 25． 4 c4皆 a 26．exf5 皆xf5 $27 . \mathrm{g}^{2}$ followed by castling，with light－square domination while Black doesn＇t have any counterplay．Such positions are what White aims for with his exchange sacrifice．
21．．．axb4 22．cxb4


[^0]My Stockfish 15 （at a decent depth） advises Black to be patient，to ‘sit on his hands＇and go for something like 22．．．党a7 23．0－0（23．h4？！䙾xh4
 claiming that it will be difficult to improve things for White．I have tried to play this position against my Stockfish，and of course failed to improve White＇s position；Black has defensive resources．For a human it＇s not easy to employ a ＇rope－a－dope＇strategy here，so it＇s easy to understand that Shirov wants to create counterplay．
23．h4！
This typical idea（send Black＇s bishop to h6）improves White＇s situation here（as tactics，later on， related to E e7＋will appear）．
23．．．寞h6 24．©cb6 皆a2 25．0－0 皆d2 Black does not really have counter－ play as his d2－rook lacking support．
26．皆f3 若 a 7


## 27． 0 d7

The most direct，but not the most precise．The point is that the bishop on d3 will be hanging in lines where White wants to capture 㙄xf7． Black＇s pieces are paralysed and there was no need for White to
be in a hurry．Best was 27. ® $^{\text {B }} \mathrm{b} 5$ ！ so as to play ${ }^{\text {d }} \mathrm{d} 7$ and，when the f8－rook moves，気 $7+$ followed by沓xf7．Black will first lose his extra exchange（since after White＇s ed7 his f8－rook cannot move）and then White＇s b－pawn will decide．Black＇s bishop on h6 continues to control plenty of empty squares．
27．．． 0 d8？
This loses quickly．Best was 27．．．亘a8！ when White has a clear advantage but Black can still fight．White＇s best is to hope for a move repetition （and then play 思b5）：
A） 28.0 e7＋does not achieve the
 d3－bishop is hanging（therefore 27．鼻b5！was needed）and Black can simply take 29．．．量xd3，and after 30．$\triangle$ f8 play 30．．．新a2！when White has to force perpetual check with
 33． ® $^{\text {f }}+$
B） 28.0 （ 7 b 6 ！所 a 3 and now White has nice tactics due to Black＇s bad king position and bad piece coordi－ nation，but not a clear win（28．．．亘f8 allows the precise 29．崽b5！，with a winning advantage）：29． 0 xa 8 ！亘xd3．

analysis diagram

B1） $30 . \triangleq \mathrm{f} 6+$ looks flashy but
brings not more than a draw after 30．．．．कf8！（30．．．gxf6？loses to 31．崸g4＋
 usual）White still has to be precise： 33．$£$ c7！and Black does not get the time to coordinate his pieces：

 and White wins in a few moves）

 nothing better than a draw by move

 to 31 ．嵝b5 㛧xa8 32.9 Cc 7 ！嵝b8 33．㽞a1）31．乞b6！（White＇s knight is needed on b6 as in some lines the move 0 d 7 is needed； 31 ． 0 c 7 ？is not
 33．㛧c8＋鼻f8 White needs to be



analysis diagram
Here the only way for Black not to get mated immediately is $33 . . . \mathrm{g} 5$ （33．．．g6？leaves Black＇s king boxed
 36．h5）34．Wewx－White has a large advantage and should win．

28． Vxf $^{\text {odxaxf8 29．b5 }}$


Now White＇s life is easy．Black＇s pieces are still not coordinated． White has a passed b－pawn plus an attack，and Kasparov executes with energetic play right until the end．

## 



White has many ways to finish the game off here；Garry characteristically chooses the most hard－hitting option．



38． 2 e3！
A nice concluding move．White had an even nicer move at his disposal with a similar idea：38．$x$ xd6！．
1－0

Game 23 B33
Viswanathan Anand
Loek Van Wely
Wijk aan Zee 2006

1．e4 c5 2．©f3 0 c6 3．d4 cxd4 4． 0 xd4

 11．c3 寞g5
This is not a theory book，but some opening info never hurts．The text， 11．．．畧g5，has established itself as the main line nowadays，because Black is not in a hurry to show his hand by quickly castling．The immediate 11．．．0－0 has been played by a number of very strong players； however，after 12． 0 c2 亶b8，13．h4！．．．

．．．is a clever and useful move －stopping ．．． 8 g5，enabling g3 followed by ${ }^{\text {宜 } \mathrm{h} 3 \text { ，and getting }}$ ready to push the h－pawn further （definitely if Black plays ．．．g6）， while still not showing his hand regarding his king position．Black would like to play ．．．$仓$ e7，trading a pair of knights，but that allows Exf6＋，damaging his kingside pawn structure．
A）With Black＇s king open after

games with Kasparov（as White） castling queenside：15．鼻d3！（in his first outing，Garry went for 15 ．${ }_{\text {W．}}^{\text {U }} \mathrm{d} 2$是b7 16．鼻d3 d5 17．exd5 寝xd5 18．0－0－0 here，Kasparov－Kramnik， Novgorod 1994．Garry celebrated victory，however Black＇s play could have been improved and in the same position a couple of months later he opted for the more precise text move）．In Kasparov－Lautier， Moscow 1994，Black followed Kramnik＇s plan，hoping for counterplay：15．．．d5 16．exd5 䨐xd5．


Now we see the advantage of the 15．鼻d3！move－order：17． 0 e3！獃e6 18．觜h5．Just like in the game against Kramnik，White will castle queenside but here he has a better
 21．0－0－0！．White＇s king is safe on the queenside，and he has a better pawn structure plus attacking chances on the kingside．White is clearly better．The game did not last long；I will give it until the end because of the beautiful last move：
 does not have any counterplay；
 coordination of Black＇s pieces is

 has the unpleasant 26．牧b8！）25．h6！ （weaving a mating net！） 25 ．．．装e5



White to play and Black to resign！ 27．$\varrho g 4$ ！！and mate or decisive material loss cannot be avoided：
 28．．ed 8 ；
B）Carlsen，playing Black，took the logical decision to place his bishop on g 7 first and then play ．．． Qe $^{2}$ ： 13．．．g6 $14 . g 3$ 鼻g7 15．h5．Now Black tries to get rid of White＇s dominant
 17．0xd5 鼻e6．


Now Wesley So played a good strategic move that almost always works in such positions：18．息h3！． Black is forced to make a decision，
as ignoring this move is not easy： 18．．．鼻xd5（if Black ignores the move
 has a clear advantage after 19． 賭xe6 $^{\text {x }}$ （my engine also likes 19．h6 寊h8 20．自xe6 fxe6 21． C e3 $\pm$ ）．Black has to allow his pawn structure to be damaged，otherwise the knight on d5 is a monster：19．．．fxe6 20．hxg6 hxg6 21．©e3 $\pm$ ）19．Wxy（Black hopes to stabilize the queenside） 19．．．b4 20．c4 顔 C 7 21．0－0 苞b6 22．b3．


We get this type of position more often in the Sveshnikov（which is the reason I selected this game）and it would be a mistake to evaluate this as drawish due to the opposite－ coloured bishops．White has a clear advantage while Black has no counterplay： 22 ．．．를d d ．Wesley So is an excellent technical player but here he committed an unusual（for him）mistake．
White had to continue to slowly improve his position when Black would be under long－term pressure struggling for a draw．For example， 23．Ëad1．White has many plans here：triple on the d－file or think about pushing the f4－pawn．I am not sure if White＇s advantage is as
large as your engine will assess it （around +1 ）but Black definitely faces a long－term struggle for a draw．
In a hurry to improve his position， So played 23．a4？bxa3 and now Black had a target（the b3－pawn） and the position was equal！ $24 . \mathrm{hxg} 6$
 leads to a drawish endgame）26．駻fa1
 was soon agreed in So－Carlsen， Stavanger 2019.



Anand goes for the main move （today as well as at the time）．Vishy probably had the ensuing exchange sacrifice in mind already．Was he inspired by Kasparov－Shirov，a game that he obviously knew？It＇s not easy to say，as the exchange sacrifice（based on light－square domination）Vishy is about to execute had already been tried in a lesser－known game from grandmaster practice．

## 13．a4

In another high－level game thirteen years later，White was definitely inspired by this game from Anand！Let＇s take a look
at the critical moment：13．畕e2

16． 年cb4 $^{\text {exb4 17．cxb4 g6 18．a4 }}$ bxa4 19．曽xa4 鼻c6 and now White sort of copied Anand＇s idea with 20．䫥e2（if White does it in a slightly different way with 20 ．畀xa6寞b5 21．畧e2 崽xa6 22．鼻xa6，Black also，just like in the game，gets good counterplay after 22．．．f5） 20．．．寞xa4 21．聯xa4．


If we compare this position to our main game（see comment after the move 19．．． $\begin{aligned} & \text { M } \\ & \text { e } \\ & \text { e }\end{aligned}$－Black plays 19．．．g6 instead）we see that Giri is two tempi down compared to Anand（actually better compared to the stem game Barua－Lalic） as Black＇s pawn is already on g6， Black is to move and the pawn on a6 has not yet been taken！Black has comfortable play here with 21．．．f5！22．exf5 当xf5．Probably， Magnus had already calculated the coming tactical motif．It should be mentioned that a draw would have been enough for Carlsen to win the tournament，so he was not ambitious（Black could have shown ambition by playing 22．．．gxf5！？）： 23．鼻d3 苞f8 24 ．皆xa6

 to Black＇s advantage）25．．．崷c8 26．欮 4 and now comes the tactical solution Magnus had probably calculated when playing 22．．．亘xf5：
 it all ended peacefully in a drawn
 30．${ }_{3}{ }^{3} \mathrm{xe} 5+1 / 2-1 / 2$ Giri－Carlsen，Wijk aan Zee 2019.
13．．．bxa4 14． ® $^{\text {cb }} 4$


## 14．．．䓢d7

Main theory nowadays is $14 . . .5$ xb4， the starting point of the theoretical discussion being 15．cxb4 0－0 16．总xa4 a5！when we have many top－ level games；the current assessment seems to be＇a dynamic balance＇．
15．寞xa6 0 xb4 16．cxb4 0－0 17．0－0 寞c6 Black could have stopped White＇s exchange sac by playing 17．．．贸e8
but then White has an advantage after the simple 18．b3 axb3 19．變xb3． White has light－square domination， a passed b－pawn and control of the a－file；Black has no real counterplay． Now Vishy goes for a positional exchange sac that had already been seen in grandmaster practice some years earlier，so it＇s quite possible that Anand knew that game：

## 18．饾xa4！崽xa4 19．紧xa4



Compare this position to（the same sacrifice idea in）Giri－Carlsen，in the comment after 13．a4（White playing 13．罳e2）．Well，it cannot really be compared，because in that game Black had played three more moves：．．． his pawn on a6 was still alive！ Probably guided by the logic＇I am material up－a queen trade makes sense＇Loek went for that：

## 19．．．椩e8

Black struggles to create counter－ play here anyway，so keeping the queens on did not equalize either：19．．．．
 Ragger－Markos，Austria tt 2007） 20．．．f5（20．．．g6 does not bring Black the counterplay he is hoping for

 Black is probably to go for a pawn sacrifice：22．．．e4 23．b5 e3 24．fxe3．．．

．．．assuming he finds the brilliant 24．．．撉f6！，but White still has some
 26．h4．
The stem game went 19．．．g6 20．㛧c6．
Now Black went for the typical bishop transfer to b6：20．．．鼻d2 21．b5
 in the game）23．b4 鼻b6 24.0 xb 6曾f7．


The game Barua－Lalic，Ubeda 1998，saw a＇clean－up＇into a drawn

 White＇s play can be improved with
 Black struggles for a draw．

## 20．嵝xe8 曀xe8 21．b5



We have a type of position White is happy to get．Yes，the queens are off，but the light－square domination from the middlegame still persists． White＇s plan is relatively easy：push the pawn to b7，then 9 d5－b4－c6 （get your exchange back）and win a superior endgame．Black urgently needs counterplay，which is difficult to find．
Van Wely goes for the most logical －and typical of a Sveshnikov－ counterplay idea：

## 21．．．f5 22．b6

Vishy continues on his path and keeps the position closed．It is doubtful if Black gets enough counterplay after 22．exf5 e4 23．b6．

## 22．．．fxe4

White stands better，but how much better isn＇t easy to tell．
Vishy comes up with an interesting pawn sac：

## 23．h4！？

With a limited number of pieces，White sacrifices a pawn to penetrate the c－file．The most logical alternative for White looks to be 23 ． m e1 when Black needs to improve his king：23．．．高f7 24．党xe4．

analysis diagram
Black＇s position is difficult to defend，but the engine always has ideas：24．．．䴗f8！（the arguably more logical 24．．．宽d8 25． to 26．鬼c4 盢f8 27．b7．White wants to play simply 量b5，eb4，气a6 and Black cannot stop this：27．．．．． 28．寞b5＋島e6 29．宽c6 followed by
 to spend a move to drive Black＇s bishop away： $25 . \mathrm{h} 4$（25．${ }^{\text {皆b }}$ 寞d2） $25 . .$. 畕d8 26 ． not the same as in the comment to 24．．．崽d8：27．葸c4 罗d7 28．崽b5＋．


Here Black has the defensive resource 28．．．高c8！！29．皆c4＋（it＇s never too late to do something stupid：29．寞c6？？畕xb6）29．．．tb7 30．崽 $\mathrm{c} 6+$＋

analysis diagram
Strangely，White does not have a killer check here and has to go for 31．b7＋皆xb7 32．葸xb7＋噚xb7 with （still）some advantage，but Black has reasonable chances to survive．


## 23．．．罟d2？

For better or worse，the pawn had to be taken！23．．．畕xh4！．The c－file penetration is less decisive than it


analysis diagram

26．．．置f7！with approximate equality after 27．鼻c4 戠g6（or 27．．．h5） 28．
24．b7 衰f7 25． 27． 0 d5＋氰f7 28．g4 蔂f4


## 29．르e1？

Logical but not precise．
Apparently，it was better not to force matters，as after 29．．
Black runs out of useful moves

 31．宴b5＋啚d8．

analysis diagram
Now White reminds Black of his weak king with 32 ．甼a1！and gets a winning advantage，e．g．32．．．亘f7 33．学 a 6.

## 29．．．g5！

A strong move，keeping Black in the game！He could also have chosen
a different move－order：29．．．${ }^{\text {ened }}$ ed
 immediate 31．．．喜e6 does not work
 32．h5 象e6

analysis diagram
33． E b5（White has no deadly discovered check after 33．葸c4置d2）and we see why it was good to protect the bishop on $\mathrm{f} 4: 33 . . . \mathrm{e} 4 \rightleftarrows$ ．

## 30．${ }^{\text {ene }} 2$

White renews the idea of the c－file penetration．After the（perhaps most logical）30．h5 Black holds with

 Black again eliminates the b－pawn with 30 ．．．吡ed8！31．吡c4 筧d7＝


## 30．．．를ed8？

Van Wely（again）refrains from pawn－grabbing and this proves to
be the decisive mistake. Normally Loek loves to take material! 30...gxh4! had to be played. The advanced h-pawn helps Black to create counterplay and probably this will save the game! A logical
 h2 33. nb $^{2}$.


Black's situation looks hopeless but thanks to his advanced h-pawn he can save the game: 33...h5! 34.⿹c6 and now another hidden resource:
 hxg4 and it will probably end in a draw; Black has enough pawns for the piece.



Now White is easily winning and Anand brings it home with a steady hand.
31...d5 32. 2 c6

The simple threat is $33 . \triangleq x d 8$ 甾xd8

30 ....ed 8 ? proves to be a loss of two tempi.

## 



## 34.h5!

In essence, creating another passed pawn, as Black's h-pawn is going to fall.
 37.fxe3

Sadly for Black, he has to take with the pawn:


39. ${ }^{\text {Unxh7 }}$

White's passed pawns are impossible to stop.

42. ${ }^{\text {Ed }} 7$

And Black resigned.

## CHAPTER 6

## Italian，Petroff，Ruy Lopez

From the huge area of $1 . . . \mathrm{e} 5$ systems，I have opted for examples that were likely to occur in the reader＇s practical play，coming from the often－played openings the Italian，the Petroff，and the Ruy Lopez．

## The＇Italian Dilemma＇



White＇s pin with 国h4 is annoying for Black，and the most clear－cut way to solve it is a radical one－pushing ．．．g5．The problem，next to the weakening of the kingside，is that White may sacrifice a piece on g5．Most of the time， this is easy to calculate，but it may simply work for White．After facing （and not successfully solving）this dilemma often in my career with Black， I ended up playing ．．．h6 most of the time，to stop the annoying pin of 鼻g5．

A typical Italian sacrifice
We have an instructive recent top－level game（and one in the comments）where the piece sacrifice on g5 works excellently for White．

Game 37 C54
Anish Giri 2768
Ding Liren 2788
Bucharest 2023

Probably Anish was inspired by the following game played by Magnus

Carlsen six years prior：3．．．鼻c5 4．c3
©f6 5．d3 0－0 6．鼻g5．


This standard pin is a bit unpleasant for Black．As in our
main game，the pin cannot really be ignored．Retreating the bishop to e7 looks a bit passive，while the most radical way（chasing White＇s bishop away by pushing ．．．g7－g5）gives White tactical possibilities like in our main game．
6 ．．．d6（due to his pawn still being on d7，Black can opt for 6．．．h6 7．鼻h4鼻 e 7 with play similar to the recent game Yu Yangyi－Dardha－see the comment to 6 ．．．d6 below）


7． ® bd $^{\text {b }}$（a well－thought－out move－ order．By delaying castling，as Anish will also do in our main games， White keeps sacrificial possibilities on 95 ，while by defending his e4－pawn he also－sort of－rules out Black＇s ．．．$£$ e7 plan of solving the pin on the h4－d8 diagonal． In case of $7.0-0$ ，Black has a well－ known plan to solve the pin by playing 7．．．$\circlearrowright$ e7！．Black wants to play ．．．$\triangleq \mathrm{g} 6$ with ．．．h6 to follow， and is not worried about the doubling of his f－pawns：8．d4 th6 and now，compared to 7．乌bd2！， White＇s e4－pawn is hanging．After 9．$\unrhd b d 2$ Black plays $9 . . . \varrho g 6$ with ．．．h6 to follow，while after 9．© $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{B}}$ xf6 gxf6，Black＇s bishop pair，the open
g －file（for ．．． g g 8 ）and the potential ．．．f6－f5 pawn push compensate for the doubling of the f－pawns） 7．．．h6（now，the unpinning move 7．．． 2 e 7 does not work as White＇s e4－pawn is defended and White gets a stable advantage after the simple 8．d4．Black is forced to make a concession，giving up the centre with 8 ．．．exd 4 －with the e4－pawn defended，8．．．鼻b6？now simply drops a pawn after 9．dxe5 dxe5
 10．鼻xf6 gxf6 11．0－0 and White has a pleasant advantage）8．賭h4．Now， Karjakin opts for the most radical way to solve the annoying pin： $8 \ldots . .85$


9．$\triangleq x g 5$ ！．If I remember correctly， when watching this game live， Magnus was still blitzing out his moves here．He goes for a well－ known tactical theme（though it was at the time a novelty in this particular position）and was most probably still in his opening preparation．Karjakin now took his time（interestingly enough，he had underestimated this known sacrificial idea just like Ding） $9 . . . h x g 510$. 自xg5．As the usual result of this thematic sacrifice，

White has already grabbed two pawns for the knight，while Black is still far from solving the annoying pin）10．．．dgy（ $10 \ldots$ ．．．賭e6 11．Wiwf dig 7 transposes to the text） 11．嵫f3 酸e6（nowadays，theoretical preparation has advanced to lower－ section Olympiad teams and at the Chennai 2022 Olympiad we saw 11．．．${ }^{\text {Enh}} 812$ ．$\triangle \mathrm{f} 1$（12．0－0－0 is a better execution）12．．．乌a5（12．．．鼻e6 transposes to 11．．．宴e6 12.0 ． 1皆h8）13．Øe3 ©xc4？（13．．．c6！was
 exd8 16．exd5 and later White won in Mwadzura－Montes Orozco， Chennai 2022）．


White has a big advantage here， however the execution is far from easy，even for a World Champion． White can successfully capitalize on his pin and gain a full point， but precision is needed．Flashy Stockfish or other top engine assessments are not easy to prove in a human game．Magnus went on to get an advantage，but did not derive the most from it and Karjakin ultimately escaped with a draw：
A） $12 . \mathrm{b} 4 \mathrm{Q}$ 思 6


13．鼻d5！．An unpleasant move！The trade with 13．．．${ }^{\text {d }}$ xd5 14．exd5 is not really an option here，as White will get a great outpost on e4 for his d2－knight，while the standard plan ．．．$\triangle \mathrm{b} 8$－bd7 will cost a pawn on b7． Karjakin goes for the best defence： 13．．．a5！14．b5 ©b8 15．息xb7（White got a third pawn for his knight while the pin on the h4－d8 diagonal
 precise defence was $15 . . . \varrho b d 7$ ！and after 16．鼻c6！（White should not be
 h4－d8 pin has been solved while Black＇s pieces start to coordinate
罡c8．White has three pawns for a piece and clear pressure，but Black has decent survival chances）．


A1）16．鼻d5 was a logical move，but not the best：16．．．2bd7 17．9c4 鼻xd5 18．exd5 璌e8（other options were 18．．．घg8 or 18．．．巴h8）．


The pin has finally been solved！ White has pressure，and Black was certainly not out of the woods yet， but Karjakin defended well and the game ended in a draw，Carlsen－ Karjakin，Wijk aan Zee 2017；
A2）Strongest for White was 16．©（6！，insisting on eliminating the knight on b8 and making it harder for Black to solve the pin． Black is in big trouble，for example： A21）16．．．d ${ }^{\text {d }} \mathrm{d}$ ？does not work after 17．h4！©xc6（17．．．巴ด88 18．乌c4＋－） 18．bxc6 鼻xc6 19．䍖h3 with 胃3 to follow，with a winning advantage for White；
A22）In case of 16．．．$仓 x$ xc6 17．bxc6， the only way to get out of the pin here is by giving up an exchange： 17．．．${ }^{\text {E．}}$ h8．Now White takes space： 18．d4！药h（18．．．exd4？？blunders into
 20．d5 鼻g4 21．宸g 3 and White has a material and positional advantage and should win；

$$
\text { A23) } 16 \ldots . . \text { bd7 17.暻xd7 鼻xd7. }
$$

Here it looks to me that the most
logical human continuation is $18 . \mathrm{h} 4$ （my Stockfish wants me to play
 has a winning advantage）when Black tries to solve the pin with 18．．．．榿e7：

analysis diagram
19．h5！and the next couple of moves look forced：19．．．崖e6 20．h6＋する g6
揅g4 24．事e2．Black＇s pieces have no coordination－${ }^{\text {man }} 7$ is hopelessly out of play．White should win this，e．g． 24．．．鼻xb5 25．嶌ah1 鼻d7 26．
B）The best execution was $12 . \varrho f 1$ ！， provided that White is precise．

analysis diagram
Black cannot solve his problems here：
B1）12．．．賭xf2＋？does not really help as after 13．事e2！（ 13. ．6xf2？is wrong
due to the simple 13．．．$\triangleq x e 4+14 . d x e 4$呲xg5）Black has won a pawn but has opened the f－file，so White now easily gets a decisive advantage，e．g． 13．．．鼻b6 14．h4 宸h8 15．©h2．The open f－file indeed comes in handy for White here，and the only way for Black to resist a bit longer is 15 ．．．$\circlearrowright \mathrm{b} 8$ 16．${ }^{\text {eaf }}$ af $\mathrm{Cbd7}$ ；however，Black loses material after the simple 17．© xe6
 20．鼻xf8 嵝xf8 21．0xf6＋；
B2）Black＇s best defence is to improve the knight and rook： 12．．．eb8 13．$\triangleq \mathrm{g} 3$ 芭h8．

analysis diagram
Here White has an important idea that will be repeated in the main Giri－Ding game：14．$£ \mathrm{ff} 5+$ ！鼻xf5 （14．．．起g6？is out of the question due to the simple 15．h4）15．霝xf ebd7 and the combination of the h4－d8 pin plus pressure on the f－file（the f7－square）proves decisive here．White opens files and gets his piece back with a decisive
 18．d4！exd4（or 18．．．${ }^{\text {eb }}$ b 19．f4＋－） 19．cxd4．Black is forced to give back the piece：19．．．宸xe4（or 19．．．鼻b6

 winning advantage for White．



## 6．．．d6

With the pawn still on d 7 ，one thematic way for Black to solve the problem of the pin is 6．．．鼻e7．At the moment of writing，we have one recent top－level game that went 7．鼻g3（strategically Black is happy with the trade after 7．0－0 d6 8．a4 ©h5 9．鼻xe7 圜xe7＝）7．．．d6 8．a4 0－0 9． $\begin{aligned} & \text { bd } \\ & \text {（counterplay for Black？}\end{aligned}$ Push the f－pawn！）9．．．．현8！（given that Black has a change of heart in a few moves，he could have opted for the immediate 9．．．g6 10．a5 a6 11．0－0 ©h5） $10 . a 5$ a6 11．c3


11．．．g6！？．Not a mistake，however I don＇t like Black＇s unnecessary mixing of plans．Black wants to
play ．．． 2 h5 but has weakened his kingside．11．．． 2 h7 is perhaps not precise because White probably has some advantage after 12 ．翯 b 3 f 5 13．exf5 药xf5 14．0－0，but consistent and strong was $11 \ldots . .9$ g8！．Black has active counterplay and is not worse， e．g． 12 ．${ }_{\text {Ul }} \mathrm{b} 3$（or 12．d4 f5） 12 ．．．f5 13．exf5 鼻f6！with ．．．$\triangleq$ ge7 to follow． After 11．．．g6，White takes central action：12．d4 exd4 13．cxd4．Black

距8 18．dxc6．


A tough decision：which check should Black give？18．．．鼻f6＋ （ $18 . .$. 葛b4＋！was stronger，when after 19．©e5 f6 20 ． we have an unclear，dynamically balanced game）19．©e2 鼻g4 20．0－0断xe2．White has an advantage here，but does not play accurately： 21．cxb7 崄xd1．Now after 22．党fxd1
 endgame was drawish，but thanks to a few mistakes by Black，White managed to win in Yu Yangyi－ Dardha，Sharjah 2023.
The double attack on Black＇s f7－ and b7－pawns would have yielded White an advantage：21．割b3！䨐e6
（or 21．．．鼻xf3 22．gxf3 bxc6 23．㭠xf7）
22．Wexb7．

## $7 . c 3$ a5

I assume that further theoretical discussions will be in the direction
 Giri－Keymer，Wijk aan Zee 2023.

## 8．©bd2 鼻a7



Probably inspired by Magnus＇s game，Anish keeps delaying his kingside castling：

## 9．a4！

We have had 9．0－0 g 5 in a couple of top－level games．
9．．．0－0
If Black wanted to push his $g$－pawn， it was a good idea to do it now．For

10．h3！
Similar to Karjakin，Ding Liren now goes for a radical solution to the h4－d8 pin：
10．．．g5
If Black plays 10 ．．．撉e7，we see another idea behind 10．h3：11．g4！ and Black is in trouble：11．．．g5 12．فوg3（ 12.0 xg 5 ！？comes into consideration even here） 12 ．．．dgg7 13．㗀e2 鼻e6 14．0－0－0！with h4 to follow．White has a strong attack－ Black may collapse in a few moves．

Probably Black＇s best option was
 another plan to consider）11．．．鼻xc4 12．dxc4（12． ®xc4 $^{2}$ leads to an equal ending after 12．．．d5！13．exd5 璔xd5 14．寊xf6 e4！15．dxe4 嵝xe4＋16．㛧e2
 and a draw is the most likely result） 12．．．g5！13．鼻g 3 響d7．

analysis diagram
I prefer White here，but my
Stockfish gives me zeros after 14．宸e2 ©e7 15．0－0－0 0 g6！
（15．．．嵁xa4？is not a good idea as White is fast with his attack after
16．h4）16．h4 ${ }^{\text {® }}$ g7 7 17．hxg5 hxg5
18． 0 xg 5 比h8 with apparent equality．


## 11． $0 \times 55$ ！

Bingo！Giri gets a situation like in Carlsen－Karjakin．



Similar to Carlsen－Karjakin，White should emerge victorious here with precise play，but it＇s not easy！Like Carlsen，Giri does not execute in the most precise way and gives his opponent a chance to escape． Probably still in his home prep， Anish started with a precise move：

## 

Another logical way to defend was $14 \ldots . . \varrho \mathrm{b} 8$ ，when after $15 . \varrho \mathrm{g} 3$比 $\mathrm{h} 816.0 \mathrm{f} 5+$ ！鼻xf5 17． we reach a situation similar to what could have happened in Carlsen－Karjakin：the h4－d8 pin combined with pressure on the f－file（the f7－square）should prove decisive．White opens files and gets a winning advantage with $18 . \mathrm{h} 4$ ． 18．当f1 is also good，and 18．0－0－0 should also work well for White．

## 15．

Black has different options to try to solve his problems but，provided White plays accurately，none of them seems to work．

## 15．．．㬡e7

15．．．』b8 16．$\triangleq \mathrm{f} 5+$ ！transposes to lines given in the previous comment．
If Black removes White＇s annoying knight with 15．．．鼻xe3 then White
takes advantage of the open f－file： 16．fxe3 $\curvearrowleft \mathrm{b} 8$（ $16 .$. 鼻xc4 doesn＇t work due to 17．0－0）17．0－0 0 bd7 18．嵝g！

 A different order of capturing with 15．．．鼻xc4 does not work either due to 16． Vg $^{\text {g }}$ ．Black prevents an immediate annihilation with 16．．．賭xf2＋17．0xf2 鼻e6，but the f －file and the pin now prove
 20．d4 and White should win．


Giri has the right idea but does not execute in the most precise way：

## 16．0－0－0

More accurate was to＇pro－actively＇ start pressuring on the f－file with 16． than $16 . . . \pm b 8$ ，and now White goes for the already mentioned
 $19.0-0-0$ and he will push $f 4$ ， opening the f－file．Black can＇t get out of the pin or create real counterplay，e．g．19．．．c6 20．．abc2 （removing his king from the check with ．．．鼻e3；now White is ready to push f4）20．．．b5 21．鼻a2 bxa4 $22 . f 4$ and Black cannot defend against White＇s multiple threats．

The immediate $16.0 \mathrm{f} 5+$ is not clear as after 16．．．鼻xf5 17． 17．．．${ }^{\text {d }}$ d8！with ．．． e6 to follow．

## 16．．．＂ag8

Ding Liren does not take his chance．If the f－file is opened now， White does not have an imminent threat，so this was the moment for Black to remove White＇s knight： A） 16 ．．．是xe3＋！17．fxe3 2 b 8 ．Black is on time now，and we probably have a dynamic balance：18．量hf1 ebd7．

analysis diagram
The position is tense，and it is easy to go wrong，White needs to be smart to keep the balance here！ A1）19．嵈 33 is wrong due to 19．．． 2 h 5 ！；
A2）19．${ }^{\text {eff }}$ 2 does not work in view of 19．．．鼻xc4 20．dxc4 山eve6！21．宸df1 Qh7 and Black wins，e．g．22．宸g


 too many pieces for the queen；
A3）After 19．鼻d5！the engine gives zeros，while in case of 19．．．c6（also possible is $19 \ldots .$. enh or $19 \ldots$ ．．．g．g6）we see the reason why White inserted 19．鼻d5！as now 20 ．嶆g 3 ！leads to
a balanced position：20．．．巳h5 （alternatively，Black can go for an interesting，materially unbalanced



 ©xg2（24．．．f6？loses to 25．．${ }^{\text {exe }}$ 3
 28．鼻xe5）25．${ }^{\text {eg}} 1$ f6！．White cannot collect the black knight and keep

㗊 88 and it is easier for White here， but a draw is the most likely result． B）In case of 16 ．．．$仓 \mathrm{~b} 8$ ．．．

analysis diagram
．．．White goes for a well－known
 Ebd7 19．Ehe1！（ruling out Black＇s ．．．鼻e3＋and getting ready for the files to open）19．．．èh5 $20 . f 4$ exf4 21．d4 and White wins soon． Anish understands that he has given Ding a chance，and now he continues precisely！

## 17．蝠hf1！

Now White has a winning advantage，and Giri executes with a steady hand．
17．．． 2 b8


White goes for a direct execution， immediately opening the centre．

## 18．d4

The idea we already established as good for White，18． $9 \mathrm{f} 5+$＋ $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{xf}$ 19．崖xf5，should work here as well as after 19．．．ebd7 20．． 6 b1 with f4 to follow，Black cannot keep his stuff together．
18．．．exd4 19．cxd4 分bd7


## $20 . e 5$

Direct and strong．White gets his piece back while the attack continues．
20．h4 was probably working too．
20．．．dxe5 21．d5 膤b4 22．震e2 鼻xh3
Another way to give back the piece was 22 ．．．鼻xe3＋23．fxe3 鼻g4 （23．．． $0 x d 5$ loses to 24．是xd5 敛 $\mathrm{C} 5+$
䍖h4．


Black＇s king remains weak：26．${ }^{\text {mf } f}$ ！党xg4 27．鼻d3 0 xd5 28．e4 4 f6 29．嵑df1 岸g6 $30 . \mathrm{g} 4$ with g 5 to follow， and Black will soon get mated．
23．gxh3 dad8 24．h4


Ding Liren hopes to create practical survival chances：
24．．．鼻d4 25．${ }^{\text {exx }}$ x 4 ！
25．${ }^{\text {d }} \mathrm{b} 5$ also looks good．
25．．．exd4 26．$\triangle$ f5 Exxg
26．．．e5 loses to 27．${ }^{\text {Q }} \mathrm{b} 5$ ．
27．hxg5 包 5
27．．．巳e8 loses to 28．胃e1 $\triangleq \mathrm{g} 7$ 29．d6．


Now White is up material while his attack still continues．
32．．．t．dy


33．g6！＂f8
Or 33．．．훌xg6 34．${ }^{\text {eng }} \mathrm{g} 1 \mathrm{f5} 35 . \mathrm{f} 3$ ．
34．gxf7 d3 35．\＃g1 Uxx7 36．㴆c3＋ And facing an endgame down a piece，Black resigned．

## Rook lift ideas in the Petroff



The Petroff Defence（or in some opening manuals＇the Russian＇）has a reputation of a quiet，solid choice where Black aims to equalize the game．

Well，in many lines of the Petroff，this reputation is entirely mistaken， as we get sharp dynamic play．One of the ways for White to seize the initiative is by using a rook lift．Sometimes the rook is lifted via the fifth
 we get sharp play，and the games I have selected show the dynamics．

Game 38 C42
Nigel Short
Valery Salov
2630
Amsterdam 1989

The rook lift ideas we are about to see in this game were novel at the time and were difficult to evaluate in the pre－computer era． The positions we will reach are very dynamic，with razor－sharp tactics－ perhaps not what you would expect from a＇quiet Petroff＇．
1．e4 e5 2． 0 f3包x 4 5．d4 d5 6．䓢d3 崽d6 7．0－0 0－0 8．c4 c6 9．0c3 0 xc3 10．bxc3 置g4
Nowadays，theoretical discussions go in the direction of 10 ．．dxc 4 11．寞xc4 寞f5．


## 11．cxd5

If White places his rook on b1 with $11 . \mathrm{h} 3$ 崽h5 12 ．量b1，we can get different types of positions： $12 \ldots$
 comfortable play for Black，as for
example in Ljubojevic－Khalifman， Reykjavik 1991.

## 11．．．cxd5 12．를1 b6

Black＇s best move is considered to be $12 \ldots \mathrm{~d}$ ．We can get dynamic positions where White sacrifices a pawn：13．h3 鼻h5 14 ．${ }^{\text {党b5 }}$ b6 （modern theory considers that 14．．．$\searrow \mathrm{f} 6$ equalizes） $15 . c 4$ ！鼻xf3 16．经xf3 dxc4 17．葸c2．We have quite a number of top－level games with this position．White definitely has compensation for the sacrificed pawn but perhaps not more．


White now goes for a nice rook lift that is worth remembering：

## 13．${ }^{\text {üb }} 5$ ！

Nowadays，armed with strong engines，we all understand that White stands better here．In the ensuing positions we have sharp tactical play，offering plenty of ideas to a gifted player and very difficult to assess clearly without silicon assistance．In the late 1980s and
early 1990s，this was a hotly debated line on top level．
13．．．臬c7
Black can opt for a positional pawn sacrifice here，provided they understand the dangers： $13 . . . \circlearrowright \mathrm{d} 7$


analysis diagram
And now Black can play for a light－ square blockade with 16．．．鼻e6


## 14．c4

Direct；however，best for White was to ask Black a difficult question about his bishop：14．h3！．Black does not have a good retreat，so he has to harass White＇s rook：14．．．a6 （14．．．恖h5？loses to the simple 15．c4
 White＇s best option is to go for an exchange sac with 15．hxg4！（my Stockfish is also enthusiastic about the slightly different exchange sacrifice 15．笪xd5！㛧xd5 16．hxg4 ed7 17．Wiec 2，with more than just compensation for White）15．．．axb5 16．㭞c2 g6．
It is obvious that White has great compensation here，but in pre－ computer days，judging the size of it was far from easy．


It took top players quite some time to understand that White has a clear advantage here．Precision is needed！Black＇s biggest problem is that he is unable to coordinate his pieces．
In the following game，Ivanchuk masterfully increased the pressure and finished with a direct mating attack：17．鼻xb5！（in a top－level game two years prior，White opted for a more direct but less precise continuation：17．鼻h6 当e8 18．鼻xb5当e4 19．g5；the bishop on h6 stands great if aided by more forces to mate Black＇s king，but the way the game went，the bishop remained out of play and Black saved a draw in Short－Gelfand，Brussels ct 1991） 17．．． $\begin{aligned} & \text { wid } \\ & d\end{aligned}$（on 17．．．包d7，White should， like Ivanchuk，continue limiting Black＇s pieces with $18 . g 5$ ！as getting the exchange back with 18．فُ h6 allows the good defensive resource 18．．．$\triangle f 6$ ！19．（自xf8 now：
A）If $18 . . .0 \mathrm{c} 6$ ，White can continue similar to Ivanchuk in the game： 19．和g2 f5 20．． m h1！and Black is in trouble（20．鼻f4 嵝d7 $21 . \mathrm{g} 5$ is less good；White gets a dominant knight
but trades off too many pieces：

 and the game Sokolov－Nikcevic， Vrnjacka Banja 1998，later ended in
 and Black cannot keep his kingside


 （limiting the knight on d 7 and preparing the 0 f3－h2－g4 manoeuvre）20．．．${ }^{\text {end }}$ d8．Sensing that Black does not have any counterplay， White takes his time：21．a4！©b8．

analysis diagram
Now the time has come for a mating attack！22．©h2！欮f8 23．0g4
 hopeless，White＇s attack wins by
 h5 27．䂦d1，and not willing to wait for 28．昜xh5，Black resigned in Ivanchuk－Bareev，Linares 1993.

## 14．．．聯d6？

This lands Black in trouble．A few months later，Jan Timman versus Nigel Short came up with the best continuation for Black here： $14 . . . \mathrm{dxc} 4$ ！．The position is very tactical，and dynamically balanced．

In the pre－computer era，it could easily take days of analyses to investigate all the possibilities in such positions．
A）15．© $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{xc} 4$ should not worry Black：15．．．㠙d6 16．g3 气c6；
B）Jan must have investigated the tactical 15．寞xh7＋！？鲟xh7 16．


B1） 16 ．．． $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{xf} 3$ ？is a blunder that
 （18．畾h5＋also wins：18．．．象g8

21．寞 $g 5+$ ；Black gets enough material for the queen but his pieces coordinate badly and the king is overexposed）18．．．啚g8 19．胃h5 f6 20．苞e1！，cutting off the escape route； Black＇s king will soon be mated；
B2）Modern－day silicon brain analyses point out an interesting tactical line ending in equality： 16．．．家c8！17．d5 and now Black needs to make a prophylactic decision： 17．．．啇g8！（moving the king to a safer place）18．詈d 4 f 619 ． m h5．White has a strong attack，but he is also a piece down．Best play probably leads to a



 25．를d1 皆d8 and Black has enough compensation to hold；
C）Short went for arguably the most logical continuation：15．鼻e4． Here Timman missed a beautiful positional sacrifice： $15 . . . \varrho d 7$ ！ （ $15 . . . \circlearrowright \mathrm{c} 6$ was played in Short－ Timman，Hilversum m 1989） and if 16．${ }^{\text {Een }}$ ？！！（Black has full compensation after 16．鼻xa8 晋xa8）

analysis diagram
Black insists on sacrificing an
 18．h3 鼻e6 and Black has a great game here．White faces a struggle for a draw．

## 15．${ }^{\text {en }} 1$ ！

White is not afraid of ghosts and lets Black execute his threat．

## 



White is better developed as the black queen on h 2 can easily be a liability here．However，the position is still complicated（a lot of tactics） and mistakes start to happen：
17．．． 0 c6？！
 Black better surviving chances．

## 

18．．．．g6？loses to 19．${ }^{\text {ed }} \mathrm{d} 7$ ．
19．皿e3？
Throwing away a relatively easy win．The way to convert was 19．皆xe8！宸h1＋（19．．．．＂xe8？loses to

 23．鼻g6！and Black loses decisive material or gets mated，or both） 20．我e2 曾xe8＋21．鼻e3（the bad position of Black＇s 㗀，鼻，勾plus 蟔
 19．．．g6
Now Black is back in the game！
19．．．f5 was also possible．
White decides to stop 20．．．$थ$ b4，but this costs time．
20．a3


20．．．謄h1＋？！
This check actually improves White＇s king．

Black had to exploit White＇s weak king with 20．．．f5！．Now the queen on h2 is suddenly well placed，and White＇s best option is to simplify into a drawish endgame：21．${ }^{\text {With}} \mathrm{h} 3$（21． g3 f4 22．gxf4 鼻xf4 does not look like a winning attempt）21．．．嶀xh3 22．gxh3 f4 23．鼻d2 昆xe1＋24．等xe1毕d8 25 ．笪xd8＋鼻xd8 and a draw is the most likely outcome here．

## 21．dede2 幽h4

Now White pursues a good idea to utilize the h－file，but he does not do it in the most precise way：

### 22.54

Correct was 22．．ģd1！，removing Black＇s threat of 22．．．$e^{x} x d 4$ ．Now White＇s king is relatively safe while he has various threats－单d7 or g4 followed by 㔽h1： 22 ．．．峌e7．

analysis diagram
Naturally，White is not going to allow 23．．．䜌xa3：23．c5！bxc5 and now is the time to utilize the h－file： 24． $\mathrm{E} h 1$ ！cxd4 25 ． $\mathrm{\omega}$ h3 f5．Black escapes mate，but ends up in a bad

撉g731． advantage and should win．
22．．．f5！

Black now has enough counterplay to hold！

## 



## 24．．．㬡 6 ？

A crucial blunder．
This sharp game could have ended peacefully had Black gone for
 does not stop 嵝d5＋and loses to 26．${ }^{\text {em }} \mathrm{d} 7$ ）and we get a drawish endgame after $26 .{ }^{\text {emd }} \mathrm{d} 5+$（26．Wh W 3 is not a winning attempt as White may only get himself in trouble after the simple 26．．．党e7）26．．．郻xd5 27．cxd5 鼻d6 or 27．．．fxe3＝．

## 25．㟶d5＋

Black now loses decisive material．
25．．．${ }^{\text {ene6 }}$
Or 25．．．．${ }^{\text {eq }} 77$ 26．

## 26．g5

Too many black pieces are hanging．
26．．． Q $_{2} \mathrm{xd} 4+27$ ． ． 1 1－0

Game 39 C42
Judit Polgar 2722
Anatoly Karpov 2693
Hoogeveen 2003
I like this game because，similar to Short－Salov，it contains a rook lift on the fifth rank by White．This


[^0]:    22．．．壷b8？！

